

# The Boston Weekly Globe.

VOL. X.—NO. 51.

## WASHINGTON.

Injuries that Would be Done to Massachusetts Industries

By the Adoption of the Tariff Commissioners' Report.

Work of the Past Week in the Senate and House.

WASHINGTON, December 17.—Representative Morse says that great dissatisfaction seems to be felt among New England people with the bill reported by the tariff commission. He has received hundreds of letters from citizens of Massachusetts complaining of the bill, and it is evident that these letters are not alone from cotton and woolen manufacturers, but from people engaged in the manufacture of chemicals, dyestuffs and in almost every branch of industry.

### Reconstructing the Navy.

WASHINGTON, December 17.—At the last session of Congress the advocates of the reconstruction of the navy were greatly encouraged by the passage in the naval appropriation bill of one item of \$400,000 for launching the iron-clad monitors Puritan, Amphitrite, Terror and Monitor. Two also were authorized, and the construction of these steel cruisers. An appropriation of \$1,750,000 was made in the naval bill for the preservation and completion of vessels on the stocks, the purchase of material, stores, etc., for the year, the repair of iron ships, and after deducting these expenses to be applied to the construction of these cruisers. The bill contained a section directing that out of the two new ships of war which the commissioners had recommended should be of less than \$500,000, and that the others should be of not less than \$600,000, and that the others should be of not less than 4300 tons nor more than 4700 tons.

The secretary of the navy asked for \$2,080,000 for the completion of the steel cruisers and \$2,000,000 for completing the four double-turreted ironclads. The commissioners recommended an appropriation of \$1,800,000 for placing the machinery in the proposed cruisers and \$1,165,000 for the completion of the machine for the monitor.

The committee on naval affairs of the House will not recommend any appropriation for the construction of the cruisers, as recommended by George T. Curtis, and it is very doubtful if it will recommend the ironclads for further work upon the monitors.

It is asserted that but few plans have been presented to the naval board, and that these plans are not at all satisfactory.

It is understood that instead of constructing one vessel between 5000 and 6000 tons burden, the naval board will build two, which will not exceed in dimensions the smallest cruiser authorized to be built by the act last session.

### Constitution Among the Clerks.

WASHINGTON, December 17.—An officer in the Treasury Department has called attention to a provision of law in regard to business hours in the executive departments, which, if strictly carried into effect, will cause much consternation in the ranks of the government clerks. The law in question makes it a offense to be absent from his section 162 of the Revised Statutes. It reads as follows: "From the 1st day of October until the 1st day of April in each year, all the clerks and officers of the various treasury, navy and post offices, the several departments and in the general land office shall be open for the transaction of the public business at least ten hours daily, except on the 1st day of April until the 1st day of October in each year, at least ten hours in each day, except Sundays and days declared public holidays by law." The law is intended to do exactly the reverse of the provisions of the statute first quoted, in that now the short hours are in the summer months, instead of in the winter months, as they are now, and will be observed but seven hours a day at the most. Secretary Folger said he would look into the matter. When approached on the subject yesterday, he was decidedly non-committal as to his conclusions.

### Hawaiian Sugar Treaty.

WASHINGTON, December 17.—A gentleman connected with one of the large commercial bodies of the Pacific in discussing the proposition to adopt the Hawaiian sugar treaty said that although through the operation of the treaty all the sugar consumed in the State of California was imported free of duty, it cost the California consumer an average rate of one and one-half cents per pound to buy the New York market.

The reason of this was, he said, that Spreckels, the man who controls the sugar production of the Sandwich Islands, was able, by means of an arrangement with the railroads, to keep out Eastern competition and fix the price of the article to suit himself. The railways gave him the benefit of a rate, which practically secures to him a monopoly of the market. It is to fix his own price on the product of his plantations. This gentleman thought the abrogation of the treaty would have but little effect either way on the market, as he could control the market, if that was the case.

Bliss is credited with having played a neat game in his creditors in relation to the sum awarded him. He gave out to the press that he would not accept the award of the Board of Audit, and quietly slipped over to the customhouse to have the money paid him. Dr. Hamilton said he was of the opinion that if he did, it was a blin lie. I wish the people of this country would let up on this Garfield bill. For now I am sick and tired of the whole affair, and now I wish I never had anything to do with it.

Bliss is credited with having played a neat game in his creditors in relation to the sum awarded him. He gave out to the press that he would not accept the award of the Board of Audit, and quietly slipped over to the customhouse to have the money paid him. Dr. Hamilton said he was of the opinion that if he did, it was a blin lie. I wish the people of this country would let up on this Garfield bill. For now I am sick and tired of the whole affair, and now I wish I never had anything to do with it.

**Aiding the Garfield Creditors.**

WASHINGTON, December 16.—Dr. W. E. Bliss says, in relation to the statement he has been made by Dr. Hamilton, to the effect that he had dosed President Garfield to death with morphine, it direct opposition to the consultation of physicians. That is the kind of blin rot he is talking about. Dr. Hamilton said he was of the opinion that if he did, it was a blin lie. I wish the people of this country would let up on this Garfield bill. For now I am sick and tired of the whole affair, and now I wish I never had anything to do with it.

Bliss is credited with having played a neat game in his creditors in relation to the sum awarded him. He gave out to the press that he would not accept the award of the Board of Audit, and quietly slipped over to the customhouse to have the money paid him. Dr. Hamilton said he was of the opinion that if he did, it was a blin lie. I wish the people of this country would let up on this Garfield bill. For now I am sick and tired of the whole affair, and now I wish I never had anything to do with it.

Bliss is credited with having played a neat game in his creditors in relation to the sum awarded him. He gave out to the press that he would not accept the award of the Board of Audit, and quietly slipped over to the customhouse to have the money paid him. Dr. Hamilton said he was of the opinion that if he did, it was a blin lie. I wish the people of this country would let up on this Garfield bill. For now I am sick and tired of the whole affair, and now I wish I never had anything to do with it.

**National Aid for Schools.**

WASHINGTON, December 17.—Senator Blair and Representative Folger will make an effort to aid in education in the States and Territories will make a determined effort to have the matter taken up in the Senate this week if the civil service reform bill is disposed of within a day or two. It is understood that they will endeavor to secure a special committee on the subject from the Senate.

**SUCCESSFUL BANK ROBBERY.**

WASHINGTON, December 17.—A successful bank robbery has already passed the Senate and the post office bill will probably be finished tomorrow. Mrs. Kasson will then renew his effort to have his civil service bill, but the opposition to its further consideration late in the day, let by Mr. Cockrell of Missouri, defeated his hope. The other bills are now before the Senate, and it is expected that the Senate will vote to complete the bill tomorrow, or as many as possible.

**The Work of the House for the Week.**

WASHINGTON, December 17.—The Indian, artful, military, academy and consular and diplomatic appropriation bills have already passed the House, and the post office bill will probably be finished tomorrow. Mrs. Kasson will then renew his effort to have his civil service bill, but the opposition to its further consideration late in the day, let by Mr. Cockrell of Missouri, defeated his hope. The other bills are now before the Senate, and it is expected that the Senate will vote to complete the bill tomorrow, or as many as possible.

**The Dakota Bond Problem.**

WASHINGTON, December 17.—The financial committee of the Senate has practically agreed to a bill to be offered as a substitute for the bill indefinitely extending the distilled spirits bond period. The bill, if adopted, will be the desired temporary relief. The new bill will provide for a two years' extension of the bonded period as to all distilled spirits now on hand. Dislike of the bill, however, has been expressed by the present law, which gives bonds three years of life. The finance committee has sent a draft of the bill to the internal revenue office, to be put into effect early in the morning. It is considered probable that both houses will pass the substitute.

### Relieving the Distillers.

WASHINGTON, D.C., December 17.—The financial committee of the Senate has practically agreed to a bill to be offered as a substitute for the bill indefinitely extending the distilled spirits bond period. The bill, if adopted, will be the desired temporary relief. The new bill will provide for a two years' extension of the bonded period as to all distilled spirits now on hand. Dislike of the bill, however, has been expressed by the present law, which gives bonds three years of life. The finance committee has sent a draft of the bill to the internal revenue office, to be put into effect early in the morning. It is considered probable that both houses will pass the substitute.

**A Gang of Brutes.**

PHILADELPHIA, December 17.—A few nights ago Mr. Crawford, Harrison, and his wife, were publicly stoned to death by their husband through occurrences involving public scandal, while walking through Germantown. He was seized by a mob, and a bunch of stones, and roughly beaten by two or three of the gang, the others meanwhile stealing her watch, chains and bracelets and tearing her ear-rings, and a chain. A woman, who had been watching the proceedings, cut a hole in the door of the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through the jeweler's office into the bank room, a panel of the door between the rooms having been broken. The cashier unlocked the vault and safe, and then discovered that \$12,000 had been stolen. The burglars evidently entered through the window from the outside, and the door was broken through





## THE BEST STORIES.

## FORM CLUBS.

During 1883 The Globe will publish at least twelve great stories. One page of agriculture every week. One page of the ladies' department every week. Charles F. Barker, champion checker player of the world, writes every week. The only paper that suits every member of the family.

Boston Weekly Globe.

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 19, 1882.

## IMPORTANT NOTICE TO AGENTS.

The regular agents of THE WEEKLY GLOBE can deduct their regular commission, and THE GLOBE will be sent to each subscriber until January, 1884. Send for agents' private terms and form a club.

## TO EACH SUBSCRIBER.

There is not a single subscriber of THE GLOBE who cannot send at least one new subscriber, and we believe that each and every subscriber can easily form a club of five. Try and form a club of five, which will entitle you to an additional copy free for your own use. If you cannot secure as many as five, send as many as you can, and we will give you three months' credit for each and every one sent less than five. One new subscriber from each present subscriber will make the circulation of THE GLOBE nearly 100,000 copies. With such a circulation new features would be adopted that would make THE GLOBE the best in the world. Ten or twelve new stories next year.

## READ THE MAGAZINE OFFER.

THE WEEKLY GLOBE, in the majority of instances, when clubbed with a magazine, costs the subscriber only fifty cents a year. Order your magazine or weekly of THE WEEKLY GLOBE. Read the list of publications offered in connection with THE WEEKLY GLOBE.

Agents can combine other publications with THE GLOBE in their orders, but will be allowed the regular commission on THE GLOBE only.

## RENEWALS.

In renewing your subscription please write that you are an old subscriber, and give the date when your subscription expired. This will prevent any delay whatever. When you renew send five other subscriptions, and secure your own copy free; or send as many as you can less than five, and claim three months' credit for each. Ten or twelve new stories next year.

## HOW TO REMIT, ETC.

THE WEEKLY GLOBE is sent everywhere in the United States and Canada, one year, free of postage, for only \$5.00.

All subscriptions should be sent by postal order, registered letter, or draft on New York or Boston, though, if more convenient for the sender, postage stamps will be accepted. When stamps are sent they should be of the denomination of one, two or three cents.

To ensure immediate attention and prompt answers, all letters should be addressed to "THE WEEKLY GLOBE, Boston, Mass."

Every letter and post card should bear the full name of the writer, his post office, county and state.

Every notice of change of residence should give former as well as present address, and both in full.

Every notice to discontinue should give the town county and state to which the paper is being sent.

All copies lost in the mails will be duplicated free of expense.

When postage stamps are sent they should not be registered.

All exchange newspapers and magazines should be addressed simply, "Lock Drawer 5220, Boston, Mass."

Sample copies are free.

## ADVERTISING RATES.

Ordinary Advertising 30 cts. per line. About 8 words average a line. Editorial Notices 60 cts. per nonpareil line. Discounts: 5 per cent. on \$100; 10 on \$200.

The latest rumors of political changes in Washington put Secretary Hunt at the Court of St. James soon after January 1, Hartranft in the War Department and Brewster on the Supreme Bench. No provision has yet been announced for Robeson and Hubbell.

Says the Springfield Republican: "The idea of Brewster for a Supreme Court Judge in place of Justice Bradley is extraordinary. What has Brewster done to merit promotion?" What had Bradley done? He had agreed to coincide with other judges on the bench in the opinion that the legislation act was legal. What has he done since? He countenanced J. Tilden and counted in R. B. Hayes. The country could stand Brewster, but Bradley's presence on the bench is a perpetuation of the jobbery of Grant and the fraud by which the presidency was stolen.

Rev. Howard Crosby and a number of other New York clergymen are wholly impervious to the teachings of experience and the dictates of common sense. Ignoring the universal condemnation of the bigotry backing the penal code, these purblind politicians met in grave call for a mass meeting to demand the rigid enforcement of the Sunday law. This seems almost incredible that men of ordinary intelligence should fail to see that the people will have none of this fanatical nonsense, and that mass meetings bode no good to Sabbath vigilance committees; but then, when the gods would destroy they first made mad.

Jay Gould says that when panics occur men "fail because they have been overrating, enlarging in enterprises that have no legitimate basis and have to be wiped out, and people suffer great losses." He thinks that panics are "a kind of necessary evil," but that good comes from them as well as evil, because people afterwards become more economical, restrict their personal expenses and study habits of economy. There is much truth in this observation. It should be remembered, however, that the one word "economy" is the keynote to the whole affair. By economy there would not be panics. But advice on this subject is almost useless. There will always be men who will be fascinated by wild speculation. Only those who know enough to stick to their legitimate business can enjoy a prosperity which is in the long run the most desirable.

The New York Herald calls attention to the dangers that beset him or her on whose head rests the crown of empire in Europe. It says: "Abdul Hamid, Sultan of Turkey, has ordered a carriage, armored and bomb-proof, and his palace is in a state of siege. Queen Victoria, when she holds a review, bids the guards close quickly behind her coach. Alexander II., Emperor of Russia, has frightened the royalties out of their wits by announcing his intention to visit them. M. Cambetta, whose coronation is hourly expected by Parisian newspapers, sits with a pistol at his bedside, and has shot off a finger in using it. King Milian of Serbia lives in seclusion since the widow Markovic fired at him. Queen Margarete of Italy sees Passanante in every Neapolitan. There is only one sovereign who is careless of his danger, and that is the gallant young fireman and bull

fighter, King Alfonso of Spain. But then King Alfonso is aware that he will not be king very long, and is making the best of his kingdom while it lasts.

## THE FUTURE OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

The regular agents of THE WEEKLY GLOBE can deduct their regular commission, and THE GLOBE will be sent to each subscriber until January, 1884. Send for agents' private terms and form a club.

## FORM CLUBS.

A Club of Five and \$5 will entitle the sender to an extra copy free. Any one can form a club by showing a sample copy to his neighbors and friends. Send a club of ten and \$10, and receive an extra copy free. Sample copies free.

fighter, King Alfonso of Spain. But then King Alfonso is aware that he will not be king very long, and is making the best of his kingdom while it lasts.

The regular agents of THE WEEKLY GLOBE can deduct their regular commission, and THE GLOBE will be sent to each subscriber until January, 1884. Send for agents' private terms and form a club.

THE FUTURE OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

The esteemed Journal devoted, last Saturday, about a half column of its otherwise valuable space to a discussion of what it pleases to term "The Future of the Republican Party," and to a plenary confession on behalf of the g. o. p. of its numerous sins. The most amusing feature of the present political situation is the exceeding humbleness of the organs, all of which are grinding out the "miseries" in penitence and mortification of spirit, and meekly admitting in their hour of dire distress what they have always stoutly denied in times of prosperity and during campaigns. The esteemed Journal's remorse is really touching. It stands up in meeting and declares that the g. o. p. is and has been most unconscious sinner and reckless liar, but has faith in the saving power of political grace, and contritely begs to be forgiven its long-contained course of evil and allowed a chance to reform.

The g. o. p. says the Journal, "cannot longer command the confidence of the country by pointing with pride to the past." We are glad to see the Journal acknowledge the truth, even at this late day, and because the untruth is no longer profitable. The Republican party has done nothing meritorious for several years except "point with pride to the past," and strenuously insist that it should be perpetually kept in view because of its past, and for no other reason in God's world. It has done absolutely nothing to entitle it to confidence or even to patient hearing in its pharisaic penitence, but has faith in the saving power of political grace, and contritely begs to be forgiven its long-contained course of evil and allowed a chance to reform.

Applying this test, the Journal's correspondent writes from Cambridge to the Journal, and signs himself a "Republican," gives some reasons why Senator Hoar should not be re-elected to the Senate. He practically takes the same ground of opposition to the Worcester statesman which THE GLOBE took some two weeks ago, when our contemporary said: "We have several times invited those who are opposed to the re-election of Senator Hoar to the Senate which is to their minds sufficient to make it unavoidable to return him to the Senate, but have failed to elicit any answer." He objects to the form of the question, too, and holds that the burden of proof rests on Mr. Hoar's friends; that they should answer the question, Why should Senator Hoar be re-elected? "The strength and purity of Republican institutions," he says, "lie in frequent elections. Public officers come before the people for re-election, and the question always is, Why should we re-elect them? What has this particular candidate done to entitle him to a re-election? Has his conduct been, on the whole, such as to justify the confidence placed in him at the last election? Has he done anything to forfeit that confidence? And finally, Is he, after all, the best man for the place?"

Applying this test, the Journal's correspondent advises emphatically and unhesitatingly that Mr. Hoar should not be returned to the Senate for a second term. And he gives his reasons. By his vote on the river and harbor appropriation bill during the last session he misrepresented Massachusetts. The veto of the President was expected to call the representatives to their senses; there could be no doubt of the meaning of the language used, but instead of taking the hint and pausing in their career of extravagance, both houses of Congress, by a two-thirds majority, voted to override the objections of the executive, and enacted the bill into law. Mr. Hoar says he voted for the bill and against the President as a matter of principle. The principle he still believes in. Massachusetts has refused to agree with him, and therefore, she should recall him and send some other man to represent her in the national councils.

This same correspondent goes farther, and charges that Senator Hoar is not in sympathy with the advance sentiment of the Republican party on the subject of civil service reform, and urges this as an additional reason for his forcible retirement. "The advocates of civil service reform," he says, "object to Senator Hoar because he is not outspoken in his advocacy of that cause. They cannot charge him with being opposed to reform, but they do charge him with being extremely lukewarm in his advocacy of a measure that the people of Massachusetts have called for in most unmistakable terms. The civil service reformers may not all agree on the best methods of effecting a reform. The methods that they advocate may not be the best adapted for the purpose, but the advocates of reform have a right to demand that the statesmen of the nation shall take up the matter and bring their practical wisdom to bear on the solution of the problem. Reform is called for, and the statesmen of Massachusetts cannot stand quiescent, tacitly agreeing at all positive projects of reform. Senator Hoar declares himself a civil service reformer, but his acts fully justify the remark of ex-Secretary Bristow, that "there are none so loud in their professions of civil service reform as the representatives of the few bosses, the Journal thinks, will probably the angry gods.

Not so, esteemed organs of the g. o. p.! Quite other than so, as you are destined soon to be made to see and recognize with more or less perturbation of spirit. The Republican party has made reform necessary and boorish unbearable. Only a fool would employ a burglar to reset the combination of his plundered safe. There is no wisdom in trusting to the honesty of a party which is compelled to acknowledge that it has occupied itself from time to time and about all the time in breaking pledges and diverting attention from its performances by pointing with pride to a past remote enough to be indistinct and legendary. The truth is that the g. o. p. has outlived its usefulness, even its uselessness, and, become a soothsayer, and is now speedily getting itself abolished after the manner of all political soothsayers. The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right. The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the interests of Massachusetts can be better served by some other than Senator Hoar, they would be untrue to themselves to vote for him; and if the candidate for whom they vote is elected by the help of Democratic votes, that fact will not make him any less a Republican. Does the Journal claim that Theodore Lyman is any the less a Republican, or that Leopold Morse is any the less of a Democrat because he was elected by Republican votes? Do a few votes one way or the other change a man's principles and convictions?" He is right.

The Cambridge gentleman, commenting on the Journal's threat of excommunication against Republicans who might possibly incline to co-operate with Democrats to elect a senator, says that "if a minority of Republican members is convinced that the





# 'TWIXT LIFE AND DEATH;

—OR—

## A Narrow Escape.

### CHAPTER II.—CONTINUED.

Yet the shadow of an old horror was on her face and in her eyes when I touched her lightly on the arm.

"Oh," I said, "what is the matter? Don't look like that, but tell me what it is."

She at once became her natural self again.

"It is nothing," she replied—"only the memory of an old trouble that comes over me sometimes; and you can see her, her hair is long and fair, her twenty times a day. Sometimes, when I hear you laugh—and a sweet laugh it is!—I could fancy we had our own Miss May back with us once more."

"Tell me about her," I said softly. "Is it long since you lost her?"

She broke away from me sharply.

"I am sorry," she answered, "I haven't time.

All the master's and Mr. Wilfred's shirts must be got up now, and that Hannah is so stupid she has been everything but useful to me."

"Go on, we must have Miss Estelle and catch a bit—a shame for a bony young thing like he is to be cooped up in the house all day—he is planting geraniums in the new beds at the end of the lawn."

Then she hurried away in the direction of the kitchen, as if to make up for lost time.

I told Mrs. Pearce's maid to keep my hat from moving, and I went off in the direction where the cross old servant, her husband, was arranging new beds of geraniums at the foot of the hemmed-in lawn.

When he saw me stop him, his work, and lean on his spade, looked at me with something like admiration on his surly old countenance. Perhaps he took some resemblance to me in the lost daring of the household.

"Eh," he said, "you're a hoying young thing. We've not had a man in the house for years past. Me and my old woman is quite pleased."

I could not help laughing; he was so odd and so familiar and patronizing, yet evidently well pleased with himself. I had already discovered that the Peares considered themselves quite a part of the Northcote family; they had lived with Mr. Northcote for many years, and had been very fond of him, a gentle family, but they were a reserved and taciturn couple, and strangers might have hoped in vain to gather any information from them which did not voluntary impress.

I stayed by him, watching him at his work for a few moments; and then I walked on towards the river, the far distant murmur of which I could hear over the stones, seemed to like towards it like a lark.

"They'll do nicely now, miss. Full of the heart, kettle and full jars, and I'll get you to be so ready as to write the labels for them," I said.

"Don't you go too far, Miss May, and don't you keep me company the best the others. Oh, I forgot! There's danger, though, today."

I felt somewhat embarrassed, but I was curious to know what was the danger.

"I know who you are," I said; "I have heard of you already. You are Lizzie Gordon, and I am Estelle."

She smiled and blushed, and then laid aside her shyness once and for all, and in ten minutes Lizzie and I were talking as any old friends. She was very much interested in the story of my life, and had been so interested in the story of hers that I had been able to tell her all about it, and I heard in return how she had exalted her father to her for the nearest town.

"I'm always reading, Miss Estelle," said Lizzie proudly, and then blushed and faded a little over her words. "I want to make myself a good and kind, I know he'll give you what you wish for once."

"I am afraid," I said rather faintly, "You know I have a home here, but I can't get it."

"Don't be afraid of Master Hugo," she said.

"You don't know him yet. He's as good as gold, and he's a great man."

One thing puzzled me very much—why was Mr. Hugo so much wealthier than Mr. Wilfred, who was the son of his older brother, and yet who had never married, and had no money?

"He's been married," I said, "and I was surprised to find out that he had a wife."

"Yes," I said eagerly and with some little difficulty.

"Please say, how old is she?" I asked.

"She is the prettiest of them all; every one admired our May."

"No," she answered; "you might stain your pretty blue cambric gown. If you want to help with such work as this, Miss Estelle, you must bring your own apron that will cover over all round."

Her words put a new thought into my head. I had not heard anything, to save except the blue cambric gown, about the loss of the black cashmere I wore in the evening; and I had been to Hillesden two or three months. I wondered if I might venture to tell Mr. Northcote for a little of my secret, but I was afraid of the general revelation of its beauty.

As I stood there watching the pale glamour of the water through the green canopy of the boughs above, attracted my attention, and, looking up, I saw standing with her beautiful golden hair all blown out and bare, a vivid picture of the dark foliage behind her, the shepherd's handsome daughter, Lizzie Gordon. How well I remembered that first sight of her as she stood looking down upon me, the bewitching gesture with which begged me not to tempt her to talk was so genuine and sincere that I said not another word; but I still I was about to give my mother a little letter. Never did I feel so torn or give more pleasure than that money of mine.

They asked me many questions about the Northcotes, and were all pleased to hear me, and I ceased to be nervous. I had given my mother a little present to pack my little carpet bag, for I was to leave Hillesden by the coach that passed the gate early next morning.

The weather was a very happy one.

Clare and I went shopping every day, and I had a pretty new dress and gloves and ribbons, to be sure, but I had not got any money, and I got some little presents to Lizzie, and the Peares and everything I wanted for myself; and still I was about to give my mother a little letter. Never did I feel so torn or give more pleasure than that money of mine.

"When what is settled, you foolish child?" I asked.

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"When when is settled, you foolish child?" I asked.

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

"I can't tell you," she said, "and she was at the foot of the bed, "when all the house was quiet, to exchange a few last words."

